- A -- nobody has run against me.
- 2 | Q How long have you been in general civil?
- 3 A Since January 1991.

Q And prior to that what areas did you serve in?

A I was -- I served in the Criminal

Division in 1990. And then I was in 1989, calendar

year, I was in Plant City, which was a -- Plant City is

kind of a mixed division. We would do civil cases,

probate, and family law kind of cases.

And then before that -- that's my Circuit
Court assignments. And before that when I was in
County Court --

- Q That's all right. We don't need to go back that far.
- A Okay. All right.
- Q Let me ask you this: How long have you been in Division E of general civil?

A Division E, let me see, it was April 1st,
April Fool's Day -- that's when we did the change. We
thought it was appropriate -- when I left the trial
division. I had been in Division F. I went in the
trial division for 15 months. And then I went to
Division E, it had to be April 1, 1999. I think I was
in there --

1 O Just last year? -- all of '98 and April of last year. 2 I've been in there a little over a year and a half now 3 4 I think. 5 What constitutes general civil? 6 included in that? 7 Α General civil would be all the civil cases that are filed, people suing one another for auto 8 9 accident kind of cases, slip and falls, somebody owes 10 money, mortgage foreclosures, all that kind of stuff. 11 It's really all the civil cases except for the family law cases. They're in a separate 12 division. So anything involving divorce, or custody, 13 14 or child support, or something like, that's in a 15 separate division called the Family Law Division. 16 So, is it fair to say there's basically three areas: You've obviously got your criminal, 17 18 you've got your family, and you've got your general civil? 19 20 Right. Then you have probate, which is different. 21 22 Q Is that generally the Chief Judge's

responsibility?

23

24

25

Α We have a -- we have a judge in the Probate Division, Judge Susan Sexton.

1	Q Just one judge?
2	A One judge. She does probate and
3	guardianships and stuff.
4	Q Got you.
5	A And then we have some subdivisions, but,
6	you know, like drug court. And we've got we have
7	preliminary presentations, and, you know, we have some
8	other stuff, but and you've got Juvenile Division
9	too. Juvenile Division is a separate division that's
10	handles
11	Q That's not done by criminal or general
12	civil, that's just a separate
13	A Separate division, judges that are
14	assigned to the Juvenile Division.
15	Q I'm not going to belabor this, but I want
16	to ask you. If I had a favorite judge in a special
17	relationship, do you have an opinion as to whether or
18	not it's possible to manipulate the random assignment
19	system?
20	A If if it's possible I don't know of
21	it.
22	Q Okay.
23	A The assignment of cases is all done by
24	the Clerk's office.
25	Q Your lawyer knew that I was going to ask

you that. Actually I wasn't, but I heard I was supposed to on the --

- A Yeah, I heard that, too.
- Q -- on the public radio this morning.
- A I read that this morning in the paper.
- Q Can you tell me how you knew that ahead of time?

A I read it in the paper. And then we'd heard -- well, actually we heard it from some media people yesterday that that was going to be -- that was an issue apparently.

Q Okay.

A But as far as I know, the Clerk's office handles all the assignment of cases of the divisions with the exception of when we created this trial division what we -- let me try to explain this to you if I can.

In the General Civil Division we have certain amount of trial weeks that we try cases during the year. And I think I'm pretty typical of the way the other judges do it.

We set up -- I have two trial weeks one month, and the next month I have one trial week for jury trials, and one week set aside for non-jury trials. So I alternate two and one, two and one. So

basically I have 18 trial weeks a year that I have set aside for jury trials.

We had a problem with dealing with long trials, and by that I mean cases that took over a week or two, because we weren't set up to do that because on the weeks when we weren't trying jury trials, we were doing dockets, having hearings on motions and stuff.

So we -- the Bar, the civil Bar of
Hillsborough County, had been on us for sometime to try
to set up a trial division because we've got a trial
division in criminal court. As a matter of fact, we
have two trial divisions. Now there's just one, but we
had two that just tried cases.

And so those courts would be better set up to if you had a three-week, four-week, five-week trial you could just try it; it didn't matter. So we wrestled with the idea, and we finally came up with a plan. And we worked on it for a couple of years, actually, to try to work out the logistics of it.

And we set up this trial division which was initially anything that was going to take over two weeks I think, or over one week, anyway, would go to the trial division.

And the reason I'm getting to this is because other than the random assignment of cases,

which whenever a trial case is filed in the Clerk's office they assigned it randomly to a division. We had ten different divisions of the civil division; ten judges, ten letter divisions. And it would be randomly assigned to one of those ten.

But once we had the trial division, we went down to nine of those divisions and then we had this trial division.

Now, if you had a case in the -- in your division that you thought was going to take more than that time frame to try, then at the -- at the suggestion of the attorneys or the judge that had the case, the case could be transferred to the trial division.

Q Got you.

A But the final decision on whether the case got transferred to the trial division was the judge that had the case. So in other words, if I had a four-week case and I decided I wanted to try it, and I was going to make arrangements and manipulate my docket to try it, then I would say, "No, I'm not sending it to the trial division, I'm going to keep this case and try it."

Q Okay. We don't want to belabor this. We have other areas to explore.

- A Okay. But --
- Q Let me just ask you quickly, if you in Division E -- is it?
 - A Yes.

- Q -- were to recuse yourself from a case, would that case go back to the Clerk's office for reassignment?
 - A Exactly.
- Q Okay. So there's no natural rotation to the next F division, --
- A Huh-uh.
- 12 Q -- G division, H division?
- A Huh-uh, no.
 - Q Okay, thank you. I was looking over notes this morning and I ran across a letter from your attorney to Mr. Rudy dated August 10, 2000. It said, "Dear Mr. Rudy: " I suspect, since it's your counsel, you're familiar with this, and say so --
 - A Well, probably not, but go ahead.
 - Q "I would like to visit with you prior to making your decision public relating to the investigation of Judge Robert Bonanno. Regardless of what you decide to do, I think it would serve everyone's best interest if you alert me of a decision prior to it becoming public.

"Please be mindful that the investigation 1 2 apparently focused on the hard facts of the case. 3 There is substantial exculpatory material of a general nature that we would be glad to provide you." And then it goes on with a little bit. 5 6 Α Uh-huh. 7 But that's the basic of it. "There is 0 substantial exculpatory material of a general nature 8 that we would be glad to provide to you." 9 10 Α Okay. 11 This is your chance to provide it. You 12 know exactly what I'm talking about. No, I don't know exactly what you're 13 14 talking about because I don't know what people are interested in. I know --15 16 Well, why did you hire an attorney at 17 that point or retain an attorney? 18 Well, he's been my attorney for years. Ι 19 didn't -- I didn't retain him because of that. 20 Did you go see him as a result of the allegations made by Judge Holder? 21 22 He came to see me. And he says, "I 23 think -- I think, you know, we need to deal with this thing a little more formally because it's -- it's 24 blowing up." 25

When this thing first happened, I thought it was just going to be a simple issue that Judge Holder and I could have resolved. And when he -- when I saw he got all excited about it.

And then when he decided that he didn't want to do that, that he wanted to formalize the thing with some kind of an investigation, which I was glad to do, I said, "Fine, let's do it."

Then when I -- when -- 30 minutes after he made that decision I get a call from the St. Pete Times, two of their reporters, then I knew that it was going to start getting to be public issue, and it did become public.

Q We're going to cover all of that.

A But I'm just -- you're asking me what -- how that all came about.

Q No, I'm asking you what exculpatory information is being referred to?

A I'd like -- well, I can tell you this:
There's been a -- all I know is what I read in the
paper. And I don't know what you or this Grand Jury
considers competent testimony.

For example, there was -- there was a statement made by -- attributed to Judge Holder, and I don't know who said what because he didn't say it to

me, but I'm just -- the newspaper reported it, that it was commonly known that he was out of town.

And I can provide exculpatory evidence that it wasn't commonly known that he was out of town. I didn't know and it wasn't commonly known. Now, I don't know whether that's important to anybody because I don't --

Q Well, that's good to know. And we know that you didn't know he was out of town now. Is there any other exculpatory information?

A About -- then we also read in there that, in the paper, that he said it was unprecedented to have a judge come to another judge's office like I did to try to talk to him. And we could present numerous judges to show that wasn't true; that it's precedented rather than not precedented. But again, I don't know if that's important.

So when I say exculpatory evidence, I guess -- and I don't know, you will have to talk to Mr. Fernandez because he wrote the letter; I don't know what he meant by that. But I just know that a lot of the issues that we read about in the newspaper were mischaracterizations of things.

Q Okay, sir. A lot of this happened shortly after the time that Harry Lee Coe died.

56 Α Uh-huh. 1 2 You're aware that there was considerable speculation about the Chief Judge --3 Uh-huh. 4 Α 5 -- becoming State Attorney? Q 6 Α Yes. 7 Were you supportive of his efforts to do Q that? 8 9 Α Judge Alvarez? 10 0 Yes. 11 No. 12 Meaning you did not want him to become Q 13 State Attorney? 14 Α I didn't think he should. 15 Did you share that with him? 16 Yes, I did. Because I don't think it's good for him because of his heart condition and his 17 18 health. And the position that he's in now, he didn't 19 need the aggravation of having to get appointed to that 20 position and then immediately run for it. I've always wanted validation of this. 21 Q You're saying being State Attorney is a lot harder than 22 23 being a Circuit Judge? 24 Absolutely. Α 25 Q Okay.

And I was a State Attorney for five years Α 1 2 so I --3 MR. FERNANDEZ: Assistant. 4 Α I was an Assistant State Attorney, excuse 5 I haven't been in your position. 6 I have wanted the Grand Jury to hear that 7 ever since I've been here. 8 Α It's a very tough, a very stressful job 9 and a lot of responsibility. 10 I kid you. But --11 Α I'm serious about that. 12 I know you are. 13 But anyway, so I really -- I really 14 didn't want him to go for State Attorney. 15 0 Well, so for --Just like I don't think it's a good idea 16 17 for him to run for Mayor, which some of you may have read in the paper, because Judge Alvarez seems to be 18 19 running for everything. But, you know, I don't think 20 it's good for him to do that either. He should stay 21 where he's at. As a friend I feel that way. 22 For the sake of argument, had he 23 become -- had he gone to some other position, some other job --24 25 Α Uh-huh.

Q -- would you have been interested in being Chief Judge?

A Well, that's an interesting question because a lot of people had approached me about that, that if he -- if he did do that would I run for the job. And I said, "If we can't find anybody else and the people -- the judges, the majority want me, I'll do it." But I wasn't real enthused about doing it.

Q Was Judge Gaspar Ficarrotta one that was encouraging you to do it?

A We talked about it. He said he wasn't -he wouldn't -- he wouldn't -- he didn't want to go for
it. So, and, of course, it seemed like, I don't know
why, just maybe -- maybe it's just from people I talked
to, but it seemed like that he was sort of the person
that everybody figured would run for Chief Judge if
Judge Alvarez decided not to do it anymore.

Q Did you become aware of a conversation that Judge Gaspar Ficarrotta had with Judge Holder regarding supporting you for Chief Judge?

A He may have told me that some time afterwards. I think -- I think I recall something about that.

Q Somewhat of an unflattering response from Judge Holder, "I'll support him when pigs fly," or

```
something to that effect?
 1
 2
                   No, I didn't hear that. I didn't hear
 3
     that.
            0
                   Did you --
 4
 5
                    I thought he said that he would consider
     it or he would -- something to that effect. I don't
 6
     know. You'll have to ask judge Ficarrotta because I
 7
     don't remember.
 8
 9
                   What was shared with you was not anything
10
     that offended you then?
                   No.
11
            Α
                    Is that what I'm hearing?
12
13
            Α
                   No.
                    Okay. How would you characterize at that
14
            Q
15
     point in time your relationship with Judge Holder?
                    Cordial, I mean, and as colleagues.
16
17
                    The date in question, and correct me if
     I'm wrong, --
18
19
                    The 27th.
            Α
20
                    -- was July 27th.
            Q
21
            Α
                    July 27th.
                    Prior to that when is the last time you
22
            Q
     were in Judge Holder's office?
23
                    I would say, and I know I was asked this
24
     before and I've thought about it some more afterwards,
25
```

60

and I think that that's probably the best thing would 1 2 have been the Friday after Judge --3 0 Coe? -- Coe had committed suicide. 4 5 0 Which was prior to July 27th? 6 Α And I've got -- what I have got here is 7 just some dates that I've written down because I looked 8 them up. And I think that was -- when did Judge --9 Friday was -- one second. I'll tell you exactly when 10 it was. Because I left and I went on a little vacation 11 that same day, that Friday. It was -- it was July --12 the Friday before. Oh, Friday, July 14th. 13 Q Okay, sir. 14 That would have been when it was. Α 15 0 All right. And that was a social visit 16 or that was a business visit? 17 Α I don't remember whether he called me to 18 go see him or I would have called him. But anyway, I 19 went down there and we talked about stuff that was 20 going on about the -- Judge Coe's death. 21 And then I think he brought up, "Well, what do you -- what do you think about the next Chief 22 23 Judge if Dennis gets the thing?" 24 Well, first of all, when I went there, he

told me that he'd just gotten off the phone with

25